



ANIMAL HEALTH & WELFARE (/ADVOCATE/CATEGORY/ANIMAL-HEALTH-WELFARE)

Partial harvest-biofloc system promising for Pacific white shrimp

Monday, 1 September 2008

By Nyan Taw, Ph.D., Hendri Fuat, Naira Tarigan and Kaesar Sidabutar

Power efficiency a major advantage



Biofloc volume was monitored and controlled throughout the study.

Biofloc technology has been successfully applied in farming tilapia in Israel, Pacific white shrimp in Belize and Indonesia, and black tiger shrimp in Australia. Commercial interest in the technology is threefold, for bioflocs provide high productivity, low feed-conversion ratios (FCRs) and a stable culture environment.

With emerging viral problems and the high cost of energy, biofloc technology appears to be an answer for sustainable production with lower operation cost. According to research by Chandaeng and co-workers in 2005 and the primary author of this article in 2006, operational costs at facilities that use biofloc technology could be reduced as much as 20 percent with FCRs of less than 1.1.

Partial harvesting has been applied in Mexico and other South American countries, as well as Indonesia. The approach aims to increase pond productivity and meet market demand for shrimp size.

The combination of the two technologies can yield even greater efficiency and pond performance.



Biofloc technology provides sustainable production in a stable culture environment.

Farm study

The authors recently carried out a study applying biofloc culture and partial harvesting at Global Group Shrimp Farm, located on the east coast of northern Sumatra, Indonesia. The farm was initially designed for pond-based flow-through culture. It had 27 ponds of various sizes, shapes and types (lined and earthen). Some of the ponds were reconstructed to modules with reservoirs for the trials.

Seven ponds were lined with high-density polyethylene plastic. Specific pathogen-free Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) postlarvae were used for the study. One pond was operated as a normal phytoplankton system stocked at a density of 100 shrimp per square meter. The remaining six ponds were stocked with different densities of 145 to 280 postlarvae per square meter. The ponds were aerated with paddlewheel aerators and some with air blowers. The power input to the ponds depended on stocking density, as biofloc technology requires high aeration to keep culture water in suspension.

Very little water was exchanged throughout the culture period. During the early stages, only treated water was used to top up water loss through evaporation and siphoning. Low-protein feed with 34 percent crude protein together with grain pellets and molasses were used to increase carbon:nitrogen ratios. Strict biosecurity involving crab fencing, bird scare lines, human traffic and using filtered and treated water was enforced within the farm.

Partial harvesting started on day 85 of culture, when shrimp reached 10 grams. Further harvests were done approximately every two weeks depending on shrimp growth. Shrimp health and pond bottom conditions were checked before partial harvesting to make sure the shrimp left in ponds were in good health. Cast nests were used for harvesting without stopping the aerators.

Environmental parameters such as salinity, temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, alkalinity and bacterial counts were monitored. The conditions were found to be within accepted industrial standards. In addition, biofloc volume was controlled at 15 ml per liter. The pond water environment became stable once biofloc was established.

Production performance

Production performance using partial harvest with biofloc technology was higher than expected (Table 1, page 88). One 2,500-square-meter pond produced 12.37 metric tons (MT) (49.48 MT/ha) through six partial harvests with a feed-conversion ratio of 1.11. Similarly, the production of a 4,702-square-meter pond through six partial harvests was recorded at 17.96 MT (38.23 MT/ha) with FCR of 1.12.

Table 1. Partial harvest	performance with biofloc technolog	yy (February-July).

					Harvest Days of Biomass Mean Body			tion FCR		Power Efficiency (kg/hp)		
Pond Size	System	Power Input (hp/ha)	Density (m ²)	Partial	Culture	(kg)	Weight (g)	(kg/ha)	$\overline{}$	Feed	Capacity	Efficiency
	Phyto-	27 (PW)	100	1	118	434	21.28	19,439	0	1.60	560	720
	plankton			Final	127	11,027	23.26					
2 5,896 m ² Bis		31 (PW)	145	1	108	2,092	16.95	22,910	0.59	1.20	680	739
	Biofloc			2	121	1,016	18.18					
				Final	131	10,400	19.23					
3 5,940 m ² Biofk			146	1	109	2, 108	17.86	24,219	0.56	1.14	680	807
	Biofloc	30 (PW)		2	122	999	20.00					
				Final	130	11,279	21.28					
4 4,704 m ² Biofic		34 (PW)	257	1	85	1,962	10.75	38,229	0.58	1.12	680	1,124
				2	99	1,896	13.33					
	Biofloc			3	113	1,871	16.13					
	БОТОС			4	127	2,587	17.86					
				5	134	2,475	18.87					
				Final	155	7,192	21.28					
5 2,500 m ² Biof		36 (PW) 12 (BL)	280	1	84	924	11.63	49,484	0.48	1.11	680	1,031
				2	99	1,455	13.51					
	D:- 0			3	113	1,324	16.39					
	RIOTIOC			4	127	1,448	17.54					
				5	134	1,043	18.52					
				Final	155	6,177	20.00					
6 2,500 m ² Biofloo		26 (PW) 12 (BL)	145	1	110	1,666	19.61	26,180	0.50	1.10	680	6 55
	Biofloc			2	124	367	20.41					
				Final	127	5,012	21.28					
7 2,500 m ²	Biofloc	36 (PW) 12 (BL)	145	1	110	892	16.39	26,460	0.50	1.10	680	551
				2	124	323	17.54					
				Final	130	5,400	18.52					

PW = Paddlewheel aerators, BL = Air blowers

The harvest volumes of the ponds were high compared to those found by the main author in 2005, when only 25 to 35 MT/ha was achieved. In the phytoplankton-based pond system, culture FCR was 1.60. Sizes for the partial-harvested shrimp varied from under 11.0 g to over 21.0 grams.

Power efficiency

The major advantage of combining partial harvests and a biofloc system is power efficiency. The carrying capacity of biofloc systems as given by researcher Kopot and the main author is 680 kg/hp aeration. In the combined system, power efficiency increased to 1,124 kg/hp in one pond. All the biofloc ponds yielded higher production rates and lower FCRs than the pond with phytoplankton.

The study also showed a limit in productivity in two ponds (6 and 7 in Table 1) with the same stocking density but different power inputs. Increases in power input do not necessarily increase pond productivity. However, high stocking densities combined with high power inputs and partial harvests at shorter intervals increase pond productivity. In addition, partial harvesting produced shrimp of various size groups that could be controlled according to market demand.

(Editor's Note: This article was originally published in the September/October 2008 print edition of the Global Aquaculture Advocate.)

Authors



NYAN TAW, PH.D.

Senior Technical Advisor Global Group, Indonesia Jl. Raya Narogong Km 14 Desa Cikiwut Bekasi 17310, Indonesia

nyan.taw@global2solve.com (mailto:nyan.taw@global2solve.com)



HENDRI FUAT

Global Group, Indonesia Jl. Raya Narogong Km 14 Desa Cikiwut Bekasi 17310, Indonesia



NAIRA TARIGAN

Global Group, Indonesia Jl. Raya Narogong Km 14 Desa Cikiwut Bekasi 17310, Indonesia



KAESAR SIDABUTAR

Global Group, Indonesia Jl. Raya Narogong Km 14 Desa Cikiwut Bekasi 17310, Indonesia

Copyright © 2016–2019 Global Aquaculture Alliance

All rights reserved.