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Disclaimer

Much of the material in this presentation has been provided by the Norwegian Seafood Council. However, opinions expressed are those of the presenter. They do not purport to reflect the opinions or views of the Norwegian Seafood Council or companies in the Norwegian seafood sector.
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What is the rationale for establishment of NSC?

Need to increase demand for seafood from Norway

Commodity nature of many seafood products free rider problem of product promotion

Limited internal human and financial resources in companies

Collective financing and promotion from 1991
Mission

Increasing the value of Norwegian seafood
How is it organized and financed?

Mandate from Norwegian government through law
Necessary due to free rider problem

Seafood industry finances the activities of the Council through fees levied on all exports of Norwegian seafood

Salmonids and pelagics: Levy 0.3% of export value
Whitefish and other species: Levy 0.75% of export value
Budget of the Norwegian Seafood Council

- Expenditures increasing from 20 to over 50 million USD.
- In 2017 and 2018 also 50 million USD
- Salmon over 50%
- Promotion intensity – promotion expenditure divided by export revenues - on average 0.6%
### Vision
Together we will win the world for Norwegian seafood

### Mission
To increase the value of Norwegian seafood in established and new markets

### Goals
To be an important contributor to the position and value creation (measured and perceived) of Norwegian seafood.

### Species’ strategies
- **Salmon and trout**
- **Codfish**
- **Pelagic**
- **Shellfish**
- **Conventional products**

### Areas of value creation
- Market insight and competence
- Market access
- Brand and reputation
- Reputational risk management
- Consumer initiatives

### How we intend to meet expectations
- Customer focus
- Collaboration with the industry
- Broad value chain perspective
- Market focused platform
- Results oriented
Governance structure

Norwegian Ministry of Trade, Industry and Fisheries → The Board

Market groups → Task forces, reference groups, signal customer projects

Seafood companies, industry organisations, fish sales organisations

Industry ownership and representation
Approx. 70 employees
What are NSC’s activities?

Marketing
Increase demand for Norwegian seafood through a broad range of marketing activities

Market insight
Market insight to industry based on statistics, trade information, consumption and consumer insight.

Communication and market risk management
Safeguard the reputation of Norwegian seafood, including proactive market risk management
Salmon campaign in Spain
POS MATERIALS
NATIONWIDE KEY RETAILERS
Exposure in Spain

TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS EXPOSED
89.8%

TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS NON EXPOSED
10.2%

Frequency: 12.4
How is NSC evaluated?
Is the investment profitable?  
Measured by: ROI/ROMI

Is the industry satisfied with the execution?  
Measured by: Satisfaction measurement

Do we influence attitudes in a positive matter?  
Measured by: Awareness, preference and reputation (SCI)

Is the execution world class?  
Measured by: Involvement and motivation (TNS AdEval)
Does NSC influence attitudes in a positive direction?

Source: 18 countries Seafood Consumer Index
Note: Change of logo in 2017, thus the low rate of logo-recall
# Results of campaign in Spain: Facebook, TV and POS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ROI (return per 1 Euro invested)</th>
<th>Food and Beverage benchmark</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POS</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Returns to investment?
Increase in sales due to promotion

Increase in export revenue divided by promotion expenditure is estimated at 15:1 for Norwegian seafood.

For salmon in particular typical estimates in the range 5:1 to 10:1.

US agricultural export average is 11:1.

Source: Capps and Williams (2017).
What are concerns about NSC in the Norwegian industry?
Challenges with the generic commodity promotion

A company funding the NSC program...
  • can clearly observe the costs of the program in its accounts
  • but may struggle to isolate the effect of the program on its own sales revenue

Some companies funding the NSC program may...
  • think that other producer countries may benefit from Norwegian investments
  • think that NSC has not chosen the”right” marketing mix, markets, etc.
  • agree that the industry have some benefits in sum, but that their own company do not benefit because of its particular products and geographic markets
  • think that they can spend the money themselves and get higher private returns
Summary – lessons from Norway

• Much of the Norwegian seafood marketed to consumers – including salmon - still has commodity characteristics
• NSC still enjoy broad support in the industry, but with vocal critics
• A promotion program with an efficient and adaptive portfolio of marketing activities can provide high returns to the seafood industry
• The industry has to own the program through board representation, participation in marketing activities, etc.
• Continuous debate within the industry about different dimensions of the program is necessary